

## Message Text

SECRET

PAGE 01 STATE 008333  
ORIGIN NODS-00

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 /001 R

66011

DRAFTED BY S/S-O:SGOLDSMITH

APPROVED BY S/S-O:SGOLDSMITH

-----128264 121332Z /53

O 121256Z JAN 78 ZFF4

FM SECSTATE WASHDC

TO WHITE HOUSE IMMEDIATE

S E C R E T STATE 008333

EXDIS DISTRIBUTE AS NODIS FOR DR BRZEZINSKI

FOLLOWING REPEAT TEL AVIV 0479 ACTION STATE INFO CAIRO AMMAN  
DAMASCUS JIDDA JAN 11.

QUOTE S E C R E T TEL AVIV 0479

EXDIS DISTRIBUTE AS NODIS

CORRECTED COPY (TEXT--PARAS 3 AND 5B.)

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: PGOV, IS, EG, US, XF

SUBJECT: AGENDA FOR JERUSALEM MEETINGS

REF: A) STATE 5218, B) STATE 06679 (NOTAL)

1. MET WITH PRIME MINISTER BEGIN AND EVRON AT BEGIN'S  
RESIDENCE IN JERUSALEM AT 1730 JANUARY 11. MEETING WAS  
SET UP PREVIOUSLY ON ANOTHER SUBJECT, BUT I DECIDED TO  
USE OPPORTUNITY TO CARRY OUT INSTRUCTIONS IN REFS A AND B  
WITH RESPECT TO AGENDA FOR JERUSALEM MEETINGS. JUST  
BEFORE GOING INTO MEETING, STERNER'S TELEPHONE MESSAGE

SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 02 STATE 008333

WAS RELAYED TO ME THROUGH CONGEN JERUSALEM TO EFFECT THAT  
EILTS HAD REPORTED SADAT FOUND THE ISRAELI COUNTERPROPOSALS  
FOR THE AGENDA "TOTALLY UNACCEPTABLE," BUT THAT HE COULD  
"GO ALONG" WITH THE U.S. PROPOSALS.

2. FOLLOWING CLOSELY TALKING POINTS IN PARA FIVE OF  
REF B AND PARAS THREE, FOUR, FIVE, AND SEVEN OF REF A,

I EXPLAINED REASONING BEHIND OUR BELIEF THAT TIME PRESSURES REQUIRED US TO PUT FORWARD OUR OWN NEUTRAL SUGGESTIONS FOR AGENDA AT THIS POINT. I TOLD BEGIN THAT I HAD JUST HAD WORD THAT SADAT WAS UNABLE TO ACCEPT DAYAN'S COUNTERPROPOSALS, AND THAT IN VIEW OF SHORTAGE OF TIME AMBASSADOR EILTS HAD BEEN AUTHORIZED TO PUT OUR PROPOSED COMPROMISE AGENDA TO HIM. I WENT ON TO SAY THAT SADAT'S INITIAL REACTION WAS APPARENTLY FAVORABLE. I THEN HANDED BEGIN A COPY OF OUR THREE POINT PROPOSED AGENDA, TAKEN FROM PARA THREE (A, B, AND C) OF REF A.

3. BEGIN WAS CLEARLY STUNG.  
HE READ THE PROPOSALS QUICKLY, STARTED TO REACT VIGOROUSLY AND THEN CAUGHT HIMSELF. HE SAID THAT FIRST OF ALL HE WAS "VERY UNHAPPY" THAT WE HAD GIVEN THIS PROPOSAL TO SADAT FIRST AND THAT SINCE HE HAD APPARENTLY ACCEPTED IT, ISRAEL WAS IN A POSITION OF DISAGREEING WITH BOTH OF US. I POINTED OUT THAT THE SECRETARY HAD OUTLINED IT EARLIER TO SIMCHA DINITZ IN WASHINGTON BEFORE IT WAS GIVEN TO SADAT; BEGIN'S REACTION WAS INTERESTING. HE SAID "WELL IT'S ONE THING TO GIVE IT TO SIMCHA, IT'S ANOTHER TO GIVE IT TO A SADAT\*"

4. BEGIN SAID FLATLY THAT HE CANNOT ACCEPT OUR DRAFT. HE HAS A NUMBER OF PROBLEMS WITH IT, BUT HE WOULD NEED  
SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 03 STATE 008333

TO DISCUSS IT WITH DAYAN BEFORE GIVING ANY FORMAL RESPONSE. THIS WOULD MEAN WE WOULD NOT HAVE THEIR RESPONSE UNTIL FRIDAY AFTERNOON, SINCE DAYAN DOES NOT RETURN UNTIL LATE THURSDAY NIGHT.

5. I THEN TRIED TO DRAW HIM OUT ON HIS DIFFICULTIES WITH OUR DRAFT, BEYOND THE FACT THAT WE HAD GOTTEN SADAT'S APPROVAL BEFORE SHOWING IT TO HIM. MAKING CLEAR THAT HE WAS SPEAKING "UNOFFICIALLY" UNTIL HE HAD TALKED TO DAYAN, HE THEN WENT THROUGH A LENGTHY "LOGICAL EXERCISE" WITH ME IN TAKING APART THE LANGUAGE. HIS ESSENTIAL POINTS ARE TWO IN NUMBER: A. WE HAVE USE SOME OF THE LANGUAGE OF 242 FOR THE FIRST AGENDA ITEM, BUT HAVE REVERSED THE LANGUAGE IN KEY PLACES AND HAVE ADDED THE ELEMENT OF "A JUST SOLUTION OF THE PALESTINIAN PROBLEM" WHICH DOES NOT APPEAR AT ALL IN 242. IF WE WERE GOING TO USE 242, AND THE ELEMENTS THEREFROM, IN BEGIN'S VIEW, WE HAVE TO USE THEM EXACTLY AS THEY APPEAR IN THE RESOLUTION--WHICH MEANS A CONSIDERABLE DIFFERENCE FROM OUR TEXT.

5.B. THE PHRASE "A SOLUTION OF THE PALESTINIAN PROBLEM

IN ALL ITS ASPECTS" REMAINS AS UNACCEPTABLE TO BEGIN NOW AS IT DID DURING THE NEGOTIATIONS BETWEEN DAYAN, PRESIDENT CARTER AND THE SECRETARY IN NEW YORK IN OCTOBER. HE WILL NOT ACCEPT LANGUAGE WHICH LUMPS TOGETHER, AS THIS DOES, THE PROBLEMS ASSOCIATED WITH PALESTINIANS LIVING ELSEWHERE IN THE REGION (THE REFUGEE PROBLEM) WITH THE PROBLEM OF THE PALESTINIAN ARABS LIVING IN THE WEST BANK AND GAZA. THE KIND OF LANGUAGE WHICH HE WOULD INSIST ON FOR AGENDA ITEM TWO WOULD BE SOMETHING LIKE "THE GUIDELINES FOR NEGOTIATION OF A SOLUTION OF THE PROBLEM OF THE PALESTINIAN ARABS RESIDENT IN JUDEA, SAMARIA, AND GAZA." (OR I THINK IN EXTREMIS HE MIGHT ACCEPT "RESIDENT IN THE WEST BANK AND THE GAZA DISTRICT.")

SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 04 STATE 008333

6. HE HAD NO PROBLEM WITH THE THIRD OF OUR AGENDA ITEMS. BUT HE POINTED OUT THAT THE REFERENCE HERE TO RESOLUTION 242 SEEMS BOTH REDUNDANT AND CONTRADICTORY WITH THE WAY IN WHICH AGENDA ITEM ONE IS FRAMED.

7. THERE THEN ENSUED A LENGTHY AND SOMEWHAT PHILOSOPHICAL DISCUSSION OF HOW ONE FRAMES AGENDAS, WITH LARGE ELEMENTS OF ANNOYANCE EVIDENT THAT WE HAVE DEVIATED FROM THE PRECISE LANGUAGE OF THE U.S.-ISRAELI WORKING PAPER NEGOTIATED BY DAYAN IN OCTOBER ON POINTS WHERE ITS LANGUAGE WOULD BE APPROPRIATE. BEGIN SAID AGAIN SEVERAL TIMES THAT HE WOULD NOT ACCEPT OUR AGENDA AND THAT HE WOULD GIVE US HIS FORMAL REACTIONS ONLY AFTER DAYAN RETURNED.

8. COMMENT: THIS WAS BEGIN AT HIS MOST LEGALISTIC AND MOST TYPICAL IN NEGOTIATING STYLE. HIS MOOD HAD OBVIOUSLY NOT BEEN IMPROVED BY THE SUBJECT WE DISCUSSED JUST BEFORE WE REACHED THIS ONE (PROBLEM OF ISRAELI SETTLEMENTS). BUT HE DID MAKE THE POINT THAT HE PRIDED HIMSELF ON HAVING ABOUT 40 YEARS EXPERIENCE IN WORKING ON AGENDAS FOR VARIOUS KINDS OF MEETINGS. AND HE SAW IMMEDIATELY, HE SAID, OUR PROPOSALS WERE "INTENDED TO MAKE THE EGYPTIANS HAPPY," BUT THEY WERE UNACCEPTABLE IN SEVERAL IMPORTANT ELEMENTS TO HIM.

9. I SUGGESTED AT ONE POINT THAT IN ORDER TO AVOID THIS WHOLE PROCESS BOGGING DOWN INTERMINABLY AND TAKING THE TIME OF THE CONFERENCE NEXT WEEK, PERHAPS WE MIGHT EVENTUALLY HAVE TO COME BACK TO SOMETHING VERY BLAND AND SIMPLE. MAKING CLEAR THAT IT WAS TOTALLY MY OWN OFF THE CUFF THOUGHT, I ASKED HOW HE WOULD REACT TO AN AGENDA WHICH WAS LIMITED TO THE FOLLOWING:

SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 05 STATE 008333

A. A DECLARATION OF THE PRINCIPLES WHICH WOULD GOVERN  
THE NEGOTIATION OF PEACE TREATIES IN THE MIDDLE EAST;  
B. THE GUIDELINES FOR NEGOTIATIONS; AND  
C. THE ELEMENTS OF THE PEACE TREATIES BETWEEN ISRAEL  
AND ITS NEIGHBORS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PRINCIPLES WITH  
SECURITY COUNCIL RESOLUTION 242.

WITHOUT HESITATION HE SAID  
THAT IF THAT WERE PUT TO HIM OFFICIALLY HE WOULD  
ACCEPT IT AS A WAY AROUND AN IMPASSE. I  
MADE CLEAR AGAIN THAT I HAD ABSOLUTELY NO AUTHORITY  
TO DO SO, BUT WAS MERELY THINKING OUT LOUD IN LIGHT OF  
HIS REACTION TO OUR PROPOSAL. HOWEVER, I  
STRONGLY RECOMMEND IN THE INTERESTS OF GETTING THIS  
SHOW ON THE ROAD THAT THE DEPARTMENT AND AMBASSADOR  
EILTS THINK ABOUT WHETHER WE SHOULD NOT FALL BACK RIGHT  
NOW TO SOMETHING LIKE WHAT I HAVE OUTLINED ABOVE.

10. THE NEXT INSTALLMENT IN THIS DRAMA SO FAR AS BEGIN IS  
CONCERNED WILL TAKE PLACE LATE FRIDAY  
AFTERNOON WHEN I EXPECT TO MEET WITH HIM AND  
DAYAN BEFORE SUNDOWN. I WOULD LIKE TO HAVE WASHINGTON'S  
REACTION TO THE PRESENT STATE OF PLAY HERE AND TO MY  
FALLBACK SUGGESTION WELL BEFORE THAT MEETING. LEWIS  
UNQUOTE VANCE

SECRET

NNN

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** Z  
**Capture Date:** 01 jan 1994  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** MEETING AGENDA, POLITICAL SETTLEMENT, MEETINGS, CAT-B, PEACE PLANS, NEGOTIATIONS, GOVERNMENT REACTIONS  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 12 jan 1978  
**Decaption Date:** 20 Mar 2014  
**Decaption Note:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 20 Mar 2014  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1978STATE008333  
**Document Source:** CORE  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** O:SGOLDSMITH  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** GS  
**Errors:** n/a  
**Expiration:**  
**Film Number:** N780001-0331  
**Format:** TEL  
**From:** STATE  
**Handling Restrictions:**  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 2  
**Legacy Key:** link1978/newtext/t19780171/aaaaci.cl.tel  
**Line Count:** 200  
**Litigation Code IDs:**  
**Litigation Codes:**  
**Litigation History:**  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM  
**Message ID:** 23308be4-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc  
**Office:** ORIGIN NODS  
**Original Classification:** SECRET  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** NODIS  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 4  
**Previous Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Previous Classification:** SECRET  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** NODIS  
**Reference:** 78 STATE 5218, 78 STATE 6679  
**Retention:** 0  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 31 may 2005  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**SAS ID:** 3787247  
**Secure:** LOCK1  
**Status:** <DBA CHANGED> MCM 20040615  
**Subject:** AGENDA FOR JERUSALEM MEETINGS  
**TAGS:** PGOV, IS, EG, US, XF  
**To:** WHITE HOUSE  
**Type:** TE  
**vdkgvwkey:** odb://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS\_Docs/23308be4-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc  
**Review Markings:**  
Sheryl P. Walter  
Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
20 Mar 2014  
**Markings:** Sheryl P. Walter Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 20 Mar 2014